REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

General Remarks

Claims 4-6 are currently amended. Claims 7-10 are added. Claim 4 has been amended to change both "containing" and "comprising" to "consisting of". The amendment to Claim 5 finds support in the specification: pg 5, Table 1, "Mn" column. Claim 6 was amended to remove the informality and finds support in the specification: pg 2, [0005] and pg 3, [0012]. Claim 7 finds support in the original claims and specification: see, e.g., Table 1. Claim 8 finds support in the specification: pg 2, [0005] and [0006], and pg 4, [0015]. Claim 9 finds support in the specification: pg 2, [0005], [0006], and [0007], and pg 4, [0015]. New Claim 10 is supported by Claim 5, differing in the amount of Mn present. Support is found at specification pg 5, Table 1, "Mn" column, Example 16. No new matter has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 6 has been amended to remove the informality. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection to claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4-6 are rejected as obvious over JP 2000-204428A (JP'428). Applicants submit that JP'428 does not render obvious the present claims. The Office has asserted that JP'428 teaches a die cast aluminum alloy "comprising (in wt %):11-16% Si, 0.5-0.2% Mg, 3-7% Cu, 3-7% Ni, 0.2-1.5% Fe, 0.2-1.0% Mn, 0.003-0.015% P" (Office Action, pg 2, 4th para). However, Claims 4 and 6 each require that the total amount of iron and manganese is 3.0% by mass or greater. JP '428 suggests, at best, 2.5% Fe+Mn. Thus, Claims 4 and 6 are neither disclosed not suggested by the reference. In addition, Table 1 at specification page 5 shows that a generally lower Young's modulus is obtained when Fe+Mn < 3%, as claimed. Thus, the rejection of Claims 4 and 6 over JP'428 should be withdrawn.

Regarding Claim 5 and new Claim 7, each of the aluminum alloys described in these claims requires 1-3% by mass of manganese. While JP'428 broadly suggests 0.2-1.0% Mn, the Examples therein use only 0.35% Mn. Moreover, and as shown herein in Table 1:

ab	

No.		Composition (wt%)												Characteristics		
							,,	6	_	В	v	2.r	Mo	Р	E	rx.
		Si	Cu	Ni	Fe	Mn	Mg	Cr	Ti	В		2.1	IMO	r	(GPa)	(×104/°C)
1		13	5	3	2	1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.01	96	17.8
2		24	5	3	2	ı	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	04	0.4	0.4	0.01	103	14.6
3		16	3	3	2	1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	04	0.4	0.4	0.01	96	17.2
4		16	7	3	2	1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.01	100	16.7
5		16	5	1	1	1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.01	93	17.5
6		16	5	3	2	2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.01	98	17.0
7	Compositions	16	5	6	2	3.5	0.5	04	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.01	106	164
8	According	16	5	1	1	1	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.01	98	16.9
9	to the	16	5		2	2		0.4		-	_			0.01	92	17.8
10	Present	16	5		2	2	0.5	0.4						0.01	92	17.8
11	invention	16	5		2	2		0.4		0.4	-	٠		0.01	94	17.7
12]	16	5		2	2		0.4	0.4		ļ.,		<u> </u>	10.01	93	17.7
13		16	5		2	2		0.4	<u>l</u>	<u></u>	0.4			0 01	93	17.7
14		16	5		2	2		0.4				U.4		0.01	94	17.7
15		16	5		2	2	_	0.4	_				0.4	0.01	94	17.7
16		14	4	2	2.5	1.2		0.5	0.5		0.5		<u> </u>	0.01	94	17.6
17		16	5		2	2	0.5				ļ	<u> </u>		10.01	90	17.9
18		12	1	1	1	0.5	1	-						=	80	20.0
19		11	2.5		0.8	0.2	0.2	<u> :</u> .		-	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	78	21.0
20	- Comparative	16	5	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.4				<u> -</u>	<u> </u>	0.01	87	17.9
21		16	5	2		2	<u> </u>	0.4	<u> </u>			-		0.01	91	17.8
22	Livampies	16	5	2	2	-		0.4			<u> </u>			0.01		17.4
23		16	1		2	2		0.4				<u> </u>	_	0.01	86	18.5
24		12	5		2	2		0.4		-				0.01	88	18.9

excellent rigidity is obtained when the claimed higher amount of Mn is present. See, especially, comparative alloys 18-20, all of which use an amount of Mn in JP'428's lower suggested range, all showing Young's modulus values of only 80-87. Compare this with

¹ New Claim 10 requires 1.2 - 3% by mass of manganese.

alloys according to the pending claims as exemplified in the compositions according to the

invention, all having significantly higher Young's modulus values.

In JP'428, Mn is added in order to suppress the formation of coarse needle-like Al-Fe

crystals, and to increases high temperature strength (JP'428 [0012]), and nothing in this

reference suggests the higher levels as claimed herein, or the benefits of increased rigidity as

shown above. As such, the rejection of Claim 5 over JP'428 should be withdrawn, and this

rejection should not be applied to new Claims 7 and 10.

Finally, new Claims 8 and 9 require, among other limitations, 0.1-1.0% by mass of

chromium. JP'428, on the other hand, uses only 0.08% by weight Cr in the Examples. As

nothing suggests the alloys described in Claims 8 and 9 nor the benefits provided by the

unique combination of elements and their relative amounts (See, e.g., Table 1 above)

Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are patentable over the disclosure in JP'428.

For the reasons discussed above, Applicants submit that all now-pending claims are

in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the objection,

and withdrawal of the rejections, and passage of this case to Issue.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

Richard L. Treanor Attorney of Record

Registration No. 36,379